



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/541,804 | 04/03/2000 | Rachel K.E. Bellamy | Y0R000122US1(8728-370) 4844 | | |
| 7590 11/13/2003 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| Frank Chau F Chau & Associates LLP 1900 Hempstead Turnpike Suite 501 | | | MIRZA, ADNAN M | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2141 | 9 | |
| East Meadow, NY 11554 | | | DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003 | DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application N . | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|--|--|
| . Advisory Action | 09/541,804 | BELLAMY ET AL. | | | |
| , Advisory Addon | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Adnan M Mirza | 2141 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars n the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | _ | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated application application abandonery which applicated applications. | ation. A proper reply to a | | | |
| | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension priginally set in the final Office action; or | n on | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the | | | |
| (d) 🛛 they present additional claims without canceli | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. | | | |
| NOTE: Claims 1, 15 and 29 have been amended | d and required further consideration | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed amendment | | | |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | | dered but does NOT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | · · · — | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: None. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-32</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> . | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disapp | roved by the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | |
| 10. Other: | di | will e | | | |
| | SUPERVI | RUPAL DHARIA SORY PATENT EXAMINER | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argued that prior art did not disclose "social interaction genre and social interactive session" that includes at least a structured interaction between/among humnas that takes place via network services. As to applicant's argument one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention can relate "social interactive sessions and social interactive genre" as having emailing and switching between the interactive channels..